

**Minutes of the Colorado Bar Association's
Cannabis Law Committee Meeting**

August 3, 2017
1900 Grant Street, Denver, CO 80203

Graham Gerritsen, in his capacity as Chair of the CLC, called the meeting to order at 12:05 pm, in attendance were:

Jeff Wilson, Co-Vice Chair
Nick Cottrell
Rachael Ardanuy
Lizzie Fanckboner
Hugh Ilenda
Lindsey Killion, Secretary
Keenan Jones
Rebecca Spence, CBA Liaison

Attending by Phone:

Megan Brennan
Bill Van Dusen
Elizabeth Wittemyer

- A. The next Cannabis Law Committee (“CLC” or “Committee”) meeting will be held at the same place, on September 7, 2017 at noon.
- B. The first topic of discussion was the desire of the CLC to host a social/networking event for members. September 21 was thrown out as a possible date. Ms. Ardanuy is reaching out to other committees to see how they host events such as this. There was also a discussion of possibly holding an event where regulators could speak with private attorneys. Ms. Wittemyer offered her experience in organizing the Bench-Bar event on the western slope.
- C. Next, there was a discussion of the pros and cons of becoming a section and the CLC’s desire to do so. Mr. Jones suggested that the CLC set a few benchmarks before trying to become a section. When those benchmarks have been accomplished, then the CLC would try for sectionhood.
- D. The CLC again discussed the need for more attorneys who practice on the regulatory side of the industry. To that end, there was a discussion of modifying the mission statement of the Committee to make it more apparent that we are not a advocacy group, but a group that helps attorneys stay current with cannabis law in Colorado.
- E. Mr. Wilson gave an update on Denver’s initiative 300. Denver has published the application for license on the website but has not accepted any applications yet. Mr. Wilson stated that the distance requirements are very restrictive. Mr. Wilson also discussed the

transporter license and the industry bulletins that the MED issued, and then immediately changed during July, regarding the requirement for a licensed premises

Mr. Wilson made a motion to adjourn, Ms. Ardanuy seconded the motion, no one was opposed, the meeting was adjourned at 12:55 pm.